Wow 18 months of planning work and public input, our "Toronto Tomorrow" proposal was released today. Check out the plan for a new kind of community on the waterfront, and ask us anything! Our website is sidewalktoronto.ca. There's also https://waterfront.ca/, and the full plan, in addition to the [...]

Edited Aug 5 2018, 9:44:19 AM from r/toronto

Proof: https://twitter.com/sidewalklabs/status/1025855738589487105

In this coming video, the Sidewalk experts will participate in AMAs on specific aspects of the proposal, including sustainability/energy and transportation (Aug 13), the Quayside plan and public realm (Aug 14), and housing and economic development (Aug 15). Please ask questions in the comments, and we'll answer as many as we can. Looking forward to it!

The AMA sessions are an opportunity for Torontonians to answer questions and clarify aspects of our proposal. We're not here to sell you on anything, and we're not here to debate.

[UPDATE][10:57][15] We're signing off at 1:30. Thanks all for the great questions!

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

This thread is archived
New comments cannot be posted and votes cannot be cast

sort by: best ↕

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Thanks for the question. Access is arguably East Bayfront, Ferry, and now Keno is New York. Quayside is the next step in that area, and we're excited to be working with Waterfront Toronto, CreateTO, and a team of world-class architects to bring it to life.

Of course, we all want people to enjoy the Keating Channel Precinct. Quayside will be a short walk from the Keating Channel, and we're excited to work with the City of Toronto on planning for the Keating Channel Precinct.

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Some quick context for others: our proposal includes 40% below-market units in the Quayside and Villiers Island neighbourhoods (on the area where Sidewalk Labs plans to build). Waterfront Toronto has also committed to 20% affordable housing in the Port Lands. Finally, the City of Toronto is planning an 8 billion TIMN Average Market Rents, with a quarter of the units affordable to households earning less than $50,000 per year. We're excited to work with Waterfront Toronto and the City to make sure we right mix and depth of affordable housing that we can.

Overall, we're pressured to build about 3.3 million square feet in Quayside and Villiers Bay.

Now, if you want to build 3.3 million square feet of any kind, that process has to be thorough, and reasonable. People would not be able to access better transit unless the same way they do with development. We're also working with Waterfront Toronto and the City to make sure that the process for approving development in Quayside and Villiers Bay is efficient and transparent.

We also recognize that finding affordable housing can be hard right now. That's why we've had conversations with the City on what a streamlined approval process could look like for projects that include affordable housing. We've also committed to a target of 40% affordable housing, and to an additional many of the partners in this community.

To make sure we can realize middle-income goals in the City of Toronto. We have proposed to work on designs, work that we've designed, and build out sections of the building to be affordable for middle-income families.

You can read more about these number on Page 270 of the plan and you can read more about middle-income families on Pages 246-249 of the Building and Housing chapter here.

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Will there be local recognition system used in any capacity?

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Thanks for your question. Simply put, there are no plans for the facial recognition in our proposal.

More broadly, we have proposed a rigorous process for responsible data use, anchored by an independent, multi-stakeholder Digital Governance Council.

You can read more about our plans for data and digital governance on last week's AMA. here.

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

NotAllThis • 1 yr ago

Hi, I'm a bit late to the change in building materials effort listed! Complete these aims to be quite many and the process about how the data will be used. I'm sure data in the future.

Will living in an affordable unit here be quite modest?

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Thanks for your question. We will take this very seriously.

The idea that we are proposing is that, if we can build an emerging stage of engineered wood made by compressing multiple pieces of timber together. About timber is so strong and so stable that it can be used to build buildings that are much taller than we've ever been able to build sustainably before by those housing projects, and also just better environmental effects.

There are a number of new timber buildings emerging around the world – including some prototypes in Toronto – that are 18 to 30 storeys. We've been encouraged by the City's support for these projects, and we're excited to work with them to bring this technology to the neighbourhood itself to Villiers Island.

We've also proposed a new approach to the way buildings can be built on land at buildings made from other materials, and we're planning to ensure that the cost, for example, our interior, walls would be 15-16 cents with an acoustic insulation designed to be to sound-resistant and fire-resistant.

To make sure we can realize middle-income goals in the City of Toronto. We have proposed to work on designs, work that we've designed, and build out sections of the building to be affordable for middle-income families.

You can read more about these number on Page 270 of the plan and you can read more about middle-income families on Pages 246-249 of the Building and Housing chapter here.

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

Authored the Report • 1 yr ago

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Thanks for your question.

As you note, many modern high-rise buildings are highly sustainable. These "ecosystem" systems do not have the ability to adapt to changes in the climate, and they're also not very resilient to climate challenges as a result, locking systems like the ones that are in place in Toronto's high-rise. We've put through decomposition. Using wood for all 12.5 million square feet of building development in Quayside would prevent the equivalent of 42,000 cars from the road for one year.

As for Passive House, Toronto has already made great progress by incorporating Passive House principles into its Tier 2 Green Standard. Our plan is to go a step further, and to require net-zero sustainability plan to be built more energy-efficient than the Tier 2 Green Standard.

Today, Toronto's buildings account for roughly 60 percent of the city's GHG emissions, and this number is expected to grow to 70 percent by 2030. Our plan is to go a step further, and to require net-zero sustainability plan to be built more energy-efficient than the Tier 2 Green Standard.

Here's a couple of ways we're proposing to do this:

- Require buildings to be constructed to meet a new "Toronto Zero Emissions Building Framework"
- Pilot new district energy systems to help building heat from low-temperature geothermal loops
- Require that buildings and transfer standards measured by a "known new" test to measure air leaks (this is the least used to actually measured)
- Balanced ventilation systems that can "recover" heat, helping interior spaces stay at comfortable temperatures

All told, we believe these new building and energy standards could reduce GHG emissions by 73.5 percent from existing Toronto averages. They are also part of a larger strategy to achieve a Toronto-wide target of 80 percent GHG reductions by 2050, as set out in the City's TransformTO sustainability mission. Source

We're encouraged, too, to see the sustainable energy bills read aloud, May 15, TO 1 - 905, They'll be a key part of the City's sustainability mission. Source

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

How many years would it will it be housing be affordable for?

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Thanks for the question. Almost answering. Our proposal today is that housing, where [illegible] Waterfront Toronto and the City of Toronto, will be affordable for [illegible] Waterfront Toronto and reviews are underway.

It's also worth noting that, in addition to providing 40% below-market housing, our proposal includes a number of ways to make sure that the housing we build is affordable for as many as possible both today.

In recent years, Toronto has primarily built condos. As a result, this has limited our ability to provide a wide range of housing options for residents. Our plan is to change that. We've proposed to work with Waterfront Toronto and the City to make sure that a wide range of housing options are built in Quayside and Villiers Bay.

Our plan can help close the way by how to support affordable for developers while creating a range of options for households of all sizes and incomes. Source

For more on our plans for rental housing, see the whole housing section of the Buildings and Housing chapter. Source

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

What's the first thing you really want/need to innovate in the proposal that didn't quite make it in there?

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Thanks for the question. In the proposal's 1,500 pages, so we pretty much got all of the ideas we wanted to include! But there are a couple of ideas that we're excited to explore in the future.

Across the housing, one of the ideas we DID include that we're very excited about is a "shared ownership" initiative, which would allow a household to purchase a home for a portion of the price, and then rent the remainder from a land trust. This would make it possible for households to build a down payment on a unit and rent the rest, with the potential to switch to full ownership over time. Source

See Pages 270-274 of the Chapter for more details.

But there is a related concept of a neighborhood trust/REIT that we did not include. Basically, a neighborhood trust would pool public investment and private investment in the area, and then use the returns to fund affordable housing. The idea is that this would help to make sure that the benefits are shared as broadly as possible, and that the public investment and potentially receive what we've invested in. We're excited to explore this idea further, but we didn't have enough time to develop the idea for the proposal, so it's not included in the plan.

Source

Hands: Our buildings. On other focus on the staff that can make it so – specifically, I think the idea of a "Toronto Zero Emissions Building Framework" is very exciting and innovative. We're also excited to explore a special sustainable district energy system for the area. Source

Overall, we're proposing to build the most sustainable energy district in North America. Source

See the section on natural materials and health on Page 271.

[Continue this thread ↳]

permanent_citizen@reddit • 1 yr ago

In one of the previous AMAs, Sidewalk Labs indicated that their primary source of revenue from the project would be from collecting rent as a landlord. That being said, I have a couple of questions:

1. To encourage new tech in the area that will be used to generate some income from the area, will Sidewalk Labs be open to rent out a unit at a discounted rate to a tech startup? Source

2. How much does sidewalk labs expect in terms of a gross ROI/payback period. The project seems like a decent impact-sum amount of land use and will only be populated almost something that most developers seem to shy away from right now.

Hands: Thanks for the question. Sidewalk Labs will not be the only landlord, so we're not going to be in a position to guarantee rent at a particular rate. That said, we're very excited to work with Waterfront Toronto and the City to make sure that a wide range of housing options are built in the area. Source

Hands: Our buildings. On other focus on the staff that can make it so – specifically, I think the idea of a "Toronto Zero Emissions Building Framework" is very exciting and innovative. We're also excited to explore a special sustainable district energy system for the area. Source

Overall, we're proposing to build the most sustainable energy district in North America. Source

See the section on natural materials and health on Page 271.

[Continue this thread ↳]

[–] 0 ↑ ↓ Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 1 yr ago

Thanks for the question. We're

Continue browsing in r/toronto →